

# **Bayesian Methods in Syndromic Surveillance**

Andrew B. Lawson  
University of South Carolina

***NYAM Syndromic Surveillance Meeting  
October 2003***

# Structure of Talk

- 1) Issues in Syndromic Surveillance
- 2) Bayesian background
- 3) Bayesian alarm functions

# Issues in Syndromic Surveillance

- ■ **Surveillance** methodologies are under-developed within statistical approaches to temporal, and in particular, spatial epidemiology.
- Surveillance is based on the idea that *limits* are to be set on the incidence of disease within a temporal or spatial domain and actions taken if these limits are passed. These limits may be based on a single observed disease pattern or could consist of rules for intervention based on many ancillary variables.

- ***Example:*** outbreaks of short-latency intestinal disease could be related to pharmaceutical sales, and monitoring of the disease and sales may be needed
- ***Process monitoring:*** statistical process control (SPC) has relevance to the issue of how to design disease surveillance systems.

# Some Issues in Data Mining

- ◇ Health surveillance is now concerned with wider issues than single disease modelling
- ◇ Syndromic surveillance: early detection of adverse health events
  - inhalation insult reports; infectious disease spread; ER visits
  - Often ancillary information is available which can help make early detection possible
  - Pharmaceutical sales; job/school absenteeism; ER visits
  - Syndromes can consist of symptoms but need to be measurable/reported

- multiple times series of events or maps can be monitored together to allow early detection

# Bayesian Background

- Methods are needed which can allow us to surveillance large quantities of data
- Need for innovative monitoring and modelling systems
- Bayesian methods are ideally suited to this task

- Bayesian methods allow the definition of a model for the data (a likelihood)
- They allow the inclusion of prior assumptions (however vague) about the parameters of the model
- They allow the testing of these assumptions via sensitivity analysis
- They have a natural sequential updating approach

- Define some data at time  $t$  as  $y_t$ . This could be a vector of disease incidences or rates. Also denote all data up to and including  $t$  as  $T$ .
- We assume that a likelihood for the data is available:  $f(y_t|\theta)$  where  $\theta$  are a set of parameters
  - This is just the distribution of the current data given parameters  $\theta$
  - a simple example: single disease, Poisson distribution, expected rate  $e_t$ , relative risk  $\theta_t$ .
  - Then  $f(y_t|\theta)$  is a Poisson distribution with mean  $e_t\theta_t$ . That is  $y_t \sim Pois(e_t\theta_t)$
  - We could also assume a more complicated model for  $\theta_t$ , where it is dependent on  $t$  itself or other covariables; we wont pursue this here.

# Posterior and Prior Distributions

- In a Bayesian approach the parameters can also have distributions
- These are called *prior* distributions
  - In the Poisson example  $\theta_t$  could have a gamma distribution with some parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$  say.
- The product of the likelihood and the prior distribution is called the *posterior* distribution of  $\theta$ . This distribution tells us about the behaviour of  $\theta$  given the observed data and our prior beliefs about  $\theta$ .
  - In the Poisson example the posterior distribution was  $f(y_t|\theta_t)$ . The prior distribution was  $g(\theta_t|\alpha, \beta)$  where  $\alpha, \beta$  are assumed known for simplicity. Hence the posterior distribution of  $\theta_t$  is

$$f(y_t|\theta_t)g(\theta_t|\alpha, \beta).$$

- This is the product of a Poisson likelihood and a gamma prior distribution



# Sequential nature of the Posterior definition

- Bayesian methods lend themselves naturally to sequential problems
- This can be seen in the posterior definition: likelihood (current data)×prior
  - The prior here can be represented as the posterior distribution from *previous data*. This represents our beliefs about the data before we see the current data.
- Hence: denoting the posterior for a single parameter  $\theta$  as  $P(\theta|y)$  :

$$P(\theta|y_t) = f(y_t|\theta)P(\theta|y_{t-1})$$

- We can also ask the question: what does the current model predict for the new time period? A predictive distribution can be constructed as  $P(y_{t+1}|y_t)$

# Bayesian alarm function

- $y_t$  is the current data (counts usually) for a monitored site (could be a small area or address).
- $y_T$  is the cumulative data on the disease up to and including time  $t$ .
- A parameter vector  $\theta$  is defined.
- Syndromic variables are also available:  $x_t$  is one such variable and  $\mathbf{x}_t$  is the vector of syndromic variables.
- Define the complete data and ancillary (syndromic ) vector as

$$D_t = \begin{cases} y_t \\ x_{1t} \\ x_{2t} \\ x_{3t} \\ \cdot \end{cases} = \begin{cases} y_t \\ \mathbf{x}_t \end{cases}$$

# Posterior definition

## Conditioning on $\mathbf{x}_T$

- A sequential posterior can be identified as

$$P(\theta|y_T, \mathbf{x}_T) \propto f(y_t|\theta, x_T)P(\theta|y_{T-1}, \mathbf{x}_{T-1})$$

where  $P(\theta|y_{T-1}, \mathbf{x}_{T-1})$  is the posterior up to and including time  $T - 1$ .

- The equivalent (posterior) predictive distribution is given by:

$$P(y_t|y_{T-1}) = \int f(y_t|\theta, \mathbf{x}_T)P(\theta|y_{T-1}, \mathbf{x}_{T-1})d\theta.$$

- Within an MCMC sampler this can be approximated via:

$$\approx \frac{1}{G} \sum_{g=1}^G f(y_t|\theta_{T-1}^g, \mathbf{x}_T)$$

where  $\theta_{T-1}^g$  is the sampled parameter vector for the  $g$  th iteration from the posterior at  $T - 1$ .

- This is called recursive Bayesian learning



## Unconditional Version

- $D_t$  is the vector of count data and syndromic variables at time  $t$ . The posterior given the evolution up to and including  $t$  is

$$P(\theta|D_T) \propto f(D_t|\theta)P(\theta|D_{T-1})$$

where  $f(D_t|\theta)$  is the new data likelihood which could include correlations between elements (which could be *maps* or *time series*).

- The associated predictive distribution is given by :

$$P(D_t|D_{T-1}) = \int f(D_t|\theta)P(\theta|D_{T-1})d\theta$$

where  $P(\theta|D_{T-1}) = f(D_{t-1}|\theta)P(\theta|D_{T-2})$ .

# Bayesian version of the optimal surveillance alarm function

- Define a frequentist alarm function for the current time ( $s$ ) as:

$$P(x_s) = \sum_{k=1}^s \pi_k \prod_{u=k}^s \frac{f(x(u)|\mu')}{f(x(u)|\mu^0)} / \sum_{k=1}^s \pi_k.$$

Here the function is designed to detect any change (of  $\mu^0$  to  $\mu'$ ) on the range  $k = 1, \dots, s$ .  $\pi_k$  is the probability of a jump at  $k$  given there hasn't been one before.

- Often for discrete times the geometric distribution is used for  $\pi_k$ .
- A Bayesian version of this would have

$$P(x_s) = \sum_{k=1}^s h(k) \frac{\prod_{u=k}^s f(x(u)|\mu')g(\mu'|u)}{\prod_{u=k}^s f(x(u)|\mu^0)g(\mu^0|u)} / \sum_{l=1}^s h(l)$$

Here  $h(k)$  is the probability of a jump at  $k$ , and  $g(\mu'|u)$  is the conditional prior distribution of the new  $\mu$  value given the time  $u$ .

Note that for an alarm which is simply concerned with the jump at the present time ( $s$ ) (and only then) the alarm function simplifies down to the Bayes Factor:

$$BF = \frac{f(x(s)|\mu')g(\mu'|s)}{f(x(s)|\mu^0)g(\mu^0|s)}.$$

Otherwise the alarm function is a weighted product of posteriors for the  $s - k + 1$  time

points with weights  $w_k = h(k) / \sum_{l=1}^s h(l)$ .

## **Definition of $f(x(u)|\mu)$**

The density  $f(x(u)|\mu)$  can be defined in different ways depending on the surveillance task.

# Syndromic Vector Monitoring

Adopting the notation of section ref: uncond, the vector density for  $D_t$  yields

$f(x(u)|\mu) \equiv f(D_u|\theta)$ , and we generalize the jump to a vector form. In this case,

$$P(D_s) = \sum_{k=1}^s w_k \frac{\prod_{u=k}^s f(D_u|\theta') g(\theta'|u)}{\prod_{u=k}^s f(D_u|\theta^0) g(\theta^0|u)}.$$

This alarm could be extended to include dependence on previous observed data.

# Conclusions

- Syndromic Surveillance is well suited to Bayesian methods
- Computational problems with evaluation of posteriors can be overcome using particle filters, windows, likelihood approximations and special spatial computational algorithms.
- Sequential nature of method an advantage
  
- Finally: What if model fails to hold ?